Application No. Applicant(s) 09/666,371 GEIGER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Abbas I. Abdulselam 2629 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)____. (1) Abbas I. Abdulselam. (2) GARY ABELEV (4) . Date of Interview: 07/11/06 MPEP 2106 Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: _____. Identification of prior art discussed: _____. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \mathbb{N}/\mathbb{A} . Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Applicant brings the Recent Case laws and part of MPEP to argue the Office action based on 101 Rejection. The Examiner agrees to Review the Case Upon the Submission of the Response

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required